

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,755	10/04/2001	Alvie L. Foster JR.	1966.ALC	1636
7	590 07:/01/2003			
Thomas F. Roland NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY P.O. Box 6500			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
Bridgewater, N	IJ 08807-0500		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/970,755	FOSTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- '					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pa Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	l .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		and a sec				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acception						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a priority under 25 H C C & 110/	a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	phonty under 35 O.S.C. 9 119(8	a)-(u) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	e have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	1).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has been re- tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C. Datest and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 5-18, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Within claim 5, the language, "said reactive sites", lacks antecedence.

Within claim 7, the language, "said cross-linking agent", lacks antecedence. Furthermore, the species, polymeric MDI and polymethylene polyphenyl isocyanate (PAPI) are the same; it is unclear what both have been claimed. Also, the Markush group is improper, because the species should be referred to in the alternative. Lastly, it cannot be determined what compounds are encompassed by the language, "its analogs" (both occurrences).

Within claim 8, the language, "said nucleophile", lacks antecedence.

Within claims 9 and 10, it is unclear how a backbone can comprise the specified compounds. If the compounds react to form the backbone, then the backbone would comprise the residues of the compounds.

Within claim 11, it is unclear what constitutes a "suitable" solvent. What conditions must be satisfied in order for the solvent to be suitable?

Within claims 16, 17, 22, and 23, the specified ratio ranges are confusing, because the range can be misinterpreted. For example, the range 0.1 to 0.9 could be read as 0.1:0.9. The examiner suggests that the ratio ranges be amended as follows to resolve any ambiguity: 0.1:1 to 0.9:1 or 0.1 to 0.9:1.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

2. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The process of claim 11 is confusing, because it specifies that the carbodithioic acid salt is reacted with the crosslinking reagent; however, it is actually the reactive sites that react with the crosslinking reagent.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8, 9, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al. ('760).

The structure at column 4, line 55 is considered to meet applicants' claims 1-3, 8, and 9. Furthermore, the subject matter of claims 19-23 is considered to be met at column 5, lines 24+.

5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent June 30, 2003

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER